Evaluation using the questionnaires

filled in by Member and Observer parties for the 5th EL Congress

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

1

2

The political orientations and the utility of the EL

Orientations

On the overall evaluation of the Party of the European Left (EL), in general the parties feel that the EL political action is highly significant. The party does a good job shaping the European positions on European problems. The need to "rebuild Europe" in a perspective of global anticapitalistic fight and the will to change the European system, allowing alliances between progressive forces and the governmental majorities, have being shared. Our common project is referred to economic, social and political aspects, based on cooperation, democracy and not to those of a centralised European Union at the service of financial capitalism.

13 14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

12

The claim of a European integration on different lines, rejecting austerity and structural reforms, breaking treaties, with the perspective of going beyond capitalism, i.e., the idea of refunding Europe, have been gathering us together. This is the substantial contribution of the EL to the European struggles, because the EL is convinced that there is no solution only at a national level, but also at a European level.

18

According to some, it seems that political topics that are major, despite their political importance and potential, are sometimes neglected in discussions, or dealt with in a subsidiary manner in the general context. The discussions, which mostly take place elsewhere, should also be held within a party with the EL's size and perspectives.

The utility of the EL

The EL is seen as a vector for building bridges and also as a tool which contributes to the formation of European left wing forces, especially when considering the diversity of the parties and, consequently, the diversity of positions on multiple issues. This is increasingly true when we consider the collaboration with the Social Forums or the Altersummit, with which the parties work together on a regular basis.

The role of Transform! on this matter is fundamental because its stable relationship with the movements helps us day by day in many activities that we co-organize.

- 31 Also the Transform! own activity of policy debate and critical scientific analysis is very important
- 32 for the EL and adds more quality in the European and global scenario.
- 33 That is why the development and activities of Transform! Represent a very important value for the
- 34 present and the future of the EL.

On the parties' relations with the EL, the main usefulness of belonging to the EL is represented by the possibility of coordinating our policies in the fight against austerity and for democratic, social and ecological progress. Yet there must be more coordination between the national and European levels for the planning of activities, and this is true for both the EL and the parties in each country. The EL is a crossroads of various forces which are politically and culturally diverse. Their convergence at a European level, the campaigns and the bridging of points of view are useful in national battles. However, we note that the EL isn't currently appealing at a national level in some countries.

The parties that belong to the EL strongly feel the solidarity and cooperation among themselves, as well as the harmonisation of their points of view. These are very important elements in order to overcome the feeling of isolation, to move forward with the everyday struggles, and to exchange information and experiences with the different parties. These elements also offer data on the life of the left wing parties in Europe and throughout the world.

An additional effort should be made to take better into account some countries or regions such as Eastern and Central Europe. Especially for this part of Europe, the success of left parties in other European countries could be an incentive, an example to motivate them to continue the fight.

The EL could have a more important role to play, especially at present, considering the left wing alternative initiatives. At the moment, the EL is too often a spectator and it should endeavour to be more effective and show itself as the driver, the catalyser for the political debate and the fight of the alternative European forces. It should combine different forces and unite them in new impulses.

The EL campaign for the 2014 European Elections

Some parties feel that the EL participation was useful for the electoral campaign, while others don't, even if this raised more interest in the campaign. The fact that the EL had a candidate for the Presidency of the European Commission was highly useful for some, while for others it didn't have a great influence on the electoral campaign. Yet the Greek candidacy had a European dimension and that helped in providing a different momentum. In some countries, this candidacy has helped in the development of convergences for pluralistic coalitions, in particular we refer to the case of Italy with the successful "Lista Tsipras".

The impact of this proposal was different depending on the country and the parties and the use of the European campaign by member parties was unequal. This is true also concerning the election platform of the EL and its logo, which were used unevenly. It will take a greater effort, if we decide to have a common candidate next time, to lead a truly European campaign.

Our strategy of Alliance Against Austerity

The campaigns around the AAA (Alliance against Austerity) is deemed useful by the parties to conduct struggles against the neoliberal and capitalist nature of the European Union by rejecting austerity and structural reforms, as well as the blackmail of the debt, and to raise the level of European confrontation. Activities around AAA shall be expanded.

The involvement in social movements at a European level such as the European Social Forum or the European Forum for Alternatives, has been constant from the first day. The EL has collaborated and participated in the implementation and development of major social platforms claiming another Europe.

The relationship with social movements has developed positively with our regular and meaningful participation in the WSF, the Altersummit, the euro-demonstrations, or the Citizens' Initiative against TTIP.

The openness to several forces shall be reproduces also at the level of the relation with the world of the trade union, at European level – sharing the mutual participation in multiple meetings as has been done in the ETUC congress for example – as well as at national level.

The relationship with the GUE / NGL must continue to grow and consolidate, with more frequent exchanging of ideas and shared actions.

New links have been forged with new left political forces and others which are evolving.

The Assessment of our political initiatives of cooperation is that the EL expanded its scope of cooperation with the political and social forces, with trade unions. DiEM25 and Plan B are spaces where the EL must work with the objective of broadening contacts with what is moving on the left in Europe.

The Forum of Southern Europe: Organized for the first time it was a great political success giving a valuable political orientation with a substantial final declaration. We also noted that our ability to do a good job with the Greens is open and very rich. It is a path that the EL should continue to explore and above all trying to consolidate with mutual respect and ongoing

collaboration.

The European Forum for Alternatives got mostly positive remarks, even when this opinion was not unanimous. Attendance was not general, and at the same time, most parties are convinced that this activity must be newly proposed, perhaps articulating the topics and considering more specific and practical ways and influences. A European, rather than a national representation is required to prepare the next Forums, and the same applies to the organisation. The limit of the initiative lies mainly in the lack of "follow-ups" after the event itself.

Parlacon was considered quite positive but not all parties were able to send representatives. It would therefore be useful if we could organise this with more representatives and in a more open manner. For this event, it would be advisable to extend the relations with the GUE/NGL group in order to request their collaboration on this matter, especially for those countries that do not have representatives in the institutions but could have close connections with European members of Parliament (for a geographical delegation or a commission subject).

As for the EL's core activities, *the Summer University* is considered positive and all parties who responded to the questionnaire wish for it to continue. Most parties took part in it; however, we should address the possibility of more people taking part and review the method, or find other solutions for funding parties which would like to take part yet cannot afford the financial costs.

The relations between the EL and its members

The relation national party / European party

Our parties shall build a very special and tight relation with the Party of the European Left to make our common work effective and useful at a national level. There cannot be any European campaign without the national involvement of the parties.

Relationship with the Direction. Decision making process: The parties have been in contact with all structures of the EL, but the institution regarded as more remote is the Council of Chairpersons. The Presidency of one President and four Vicepresidents has been rather symbolic.

The Working Groups: in general, the existence of EL working groups and networks is known and valued by the parties, but especially when these deal with specific problems related to the parties' regions. The information about the work that working groups and networks carry out reaches people in an irregular manner, according to the various groups. The parties can be more active within the working groups, especially if they want their country to be a subject of discussion

in their context.

Decision making process, information and transparency

- The parties inform of EL activities through newsletters, mailing lists, articles in the parties' press, parties' websites and social media, forums and press conferences, flyers, festivals and in their political gatherings. Others, however, state that there is a lack of communication by the EL and therefore use the dissemination of news at a European level directly through the institutions' information.
- The parties are aware of the decisions of the EL through the reports and other materials sent, especially those of the Executive Board related to the structures and the WGs and networks' specific actions. But they also receive information from the leadership of each party.
 - The parties are informed of the EL's public activities through the updated information available on the EL's website. It's certainly true that a distribution with different locations for each member party and different sections on the EL website could help.
 - On parties' websites the translation remains a non solved problem, even when efforts are made to inform the countries. E-mails are important as well and the social networks should be updated more frequently.

- The components of the different structures of the EL should inform the parties' leaderships regularly of the decisions made and the discussions held at different levels; as well as their participation in each Executive Board meeting and the cooperation between different parties of each country.
- The entire decision-making process must be transparent. The reports of each meeting of EL bodies (notably the Secretariat, Presidency and Council of Chairpersons) should be sent to the parties and the Executive Board. All decisions pertaining to policy, delegations and the use of funding must be made democratically.
 - It is also important to send the Executive Board and Council of Chairperson all documents sufficiently ahead of the meetings, but for this to take place, the parties must also send their documents in advance and think about having more modern and effective means of communication, such as online TV, online journals (with advertising space for the member parties), etc.

It is also important that the parties distribute the information to the leadership, as well as draft summaries of the bodies' activities (Executive Board, Secretariat and Council of Chairpersons) so that these are made public.

First Proposals for the evolution of the EL

Political orientation. The EL discourse

answers deriving from contexts such as the Brexit.

Raise our voice in front of the denied democracy. The political alternative represented by the EL, with the GUE/NGL group, is potentially appropriate regarding the formation of an opposition to the governments currently in power, which enforce right wing policies. Now is the time to adopt a more aggressive style, one that suits Europe's current situation and that of the European Commission which is imposing central decisions on national governments, ignoring social policies as well as the increasing development gap between European States, etc.

It is necessary to intensify the political debate. EL should play a more active role in the discussion about European policy and political alternatives regarding also questions like the future of the Eurozone. It is necessary to share our struggle even more, and specially to reach a common proposal which provides answers to the refugee crisis as well as to possible problems of nationalist

As for the other events, we need to think about the fact that we are not just a party but also a culture, a political heritage and a way of life. Without central events, there is no representation or thoughts regarding these matters.

Deal with the new dimensions of the crisis. The party was right in placing the fight against austerity in the centre of its strategy; the fight against the Troika, the struggle in favour of the women's movement (against sexist violence, for labour gender equality, for the freedom of decision regarding motherhood). Yet it's also true that the EL should find a common position with regard to the EU's current crisis, its future development and the refugee issue. It is difficult to issue common statements, but this is necessary as it is having concrete proposals on the different subjects.

Political initiatives

Considering the diversity that the EL represents, it's clear that each party does not always agree with the type of activities that enable us to assess the advantages of common campaigns such as the one against the TTIP.

• One of the main priorities of the EL is to campaign at a European level against the TTIP (Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership). For this, the EL participated in the construction of a broad social and political front, with trade unions and civic associations, through organized events and collecting signatures for the European initiative.

200 • Lancement d'u

- Lancement d'une campagne pour un programme d'Investment politique orienté vers une transformation sociale et écologique de l'industrie, de raccordement ainsi initiatives des syndicats européens
- To set up the European initiative for Central and Eastern Europe, as was done with the group for the Mediterranean.
- Participating in a campaign for a European tax on large fortunes and against tax havens.
- Organise political solidarity. There should also be events to denounce neoliberal demonstrations against communism, the ideas of the left and the left wing forces in general.

Ideas about changes in the EL structures

In order to improve the proximity between the parties and the EL, in addition to continuing with the communications in a more regular manner through e-mail, we propose to jointly organise common actions -not just let the organizing country to do it by itself-. We ought to prepare events together more in terms of organisation rather than just at the level of representation.

A further step should be taken in the organisation of the working groups. The idea is to try to create more professional groups, with more organised work so that the experts, and also the best of these, can work together. Since meeting in person isn't always possible, Skype calls or video-conferencing could be favoured. Meetings could be organised during conferences or festivals. New working groups should be created to encourage the campaigns (ex.: TTIP, Women...). The majority of them should be maintained and there is a need to revive those who have not gathered -or very little- in recent years.

Communication. The development of direct communication by the EL leadership with the member parties (for instance, the existence of an on-line journal, an on-line TV point, etc) would be a positive tool. Communication could be improved through more detailed discussions at the Executive Board, information material and also small meetings of the working groups. Communication has to be improved especially concerning the website.

231 **EL** structures 232 The EL should be more efficient in terms of the distribution of funding and its running. 233 The EL should strengthen its links with the elected representatives in the European 234 Parliament, who are doing a good job, though their contributions are not well enough known and 235 used. 236 We need more "militant", European campaigns which would enable the EL members to 237 support them in their local and daily activities. It would be interesting, for example, to find ways to 238 organize more 'rank-and-file' militants to take part in the different EL activities. 239

230